



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,405	12	2/10/2003	Tadashi Mori	031320	3914
23850	7590	07/13/2005	·	EXAMINER	
		TZ, QUINTOS,	ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN		
1725 K STI SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2003	5.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,405	MORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Engle	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M This action is FINAL 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2005 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/26/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on December 11, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the JP 2002-358852 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4-6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

The applicant has reverted back to the original claims 4-6. For the purposes of prior art, the Examiner has examiner the previously presented claims 4-6

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bauer (US Patent 5,209,316).

Regarding claim 1, Bauer discloses a cab supporting structure supporting a cab (16) against a vehicle frame (14) via an attenuation mechanism (62), wherein a regulation member (10), only when predetermined displacement is generated in the cab in the extension direction of

Page 3

the attenuation mechanism, regulating the displacement (46 reaching the top of slot 44) is provided separately from this attenuation mechanism (62).

Regarding claim 2, Bauer discloses the cab supporting structure according to claim 1, wherein said regulation member (10) has a cab side member (20) attached to the cab side, and the cab side member (20) is in a non-interferential relation with said vehicle frame side (14) in a normal condition (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Bauer discloses the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein said regulation member (10) regulates displacement of the cab before (via 52 and the top end of the slot) a stroke end of said attenuation mechanism (62).

Regarding claim 6, Bauer discloses the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or 2, wherein, when rigidity of said cab is set to be larger at the rear side than at the front side, said regulation member is provided at least at the rear side of the cab (Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 3612

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer in view of Applicant's Admission (Figs. 17 and 20).

Bauer discloses the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or 2. Bauer does not disclose that there is an operation machine. The Applicant's admit in Figs. 17 and 20 that a cab supporting structure with an operation machine and the attenuation device taught by Bauer is known (Fig. 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the regulation member as taught by Bauer in a cab structure with an operation machine as taught by the Applicant's admission in Fig. 20.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer in view of Keechner et al. (US Patent 5,388,884).

Regarding claim 4, Bauer discloses the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or 2. Bauer does not disclose that the regulation member (10) is arranged between a column of the cab (16) and the vehicle frame (14). Keechner et al. disclose a cab supporting structure with the regulation member arranged between a column (24) and the vehicle frame. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mount the regulation member between the column of the cab and the vehicle frame. The motivation would have been to best support the vehicle cab.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,405

Art Unit: 3612

Response to Arguments

Page 5

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The prior art discloses other regulation devices for cab structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Engle whose telephone number is (571) 272-6660.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

spriette Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

July 10,2005